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Meeting Objectives

1. Present findings and provide project status update.

2. Present draft Fare Policy and facilitate discussion.
Study Context

- SamTrans 2015-2019 Strategic Plan goals.
- Constrained financial resources.
- No existing fare policy.
- No recent comprehensive fare analysis.
- Ongoing District efforts to simplify and streamline service.
- Many new fare payment technologies on the horizon.
1. Develop and propose a SamTrans fare policy, based on study results and staff input.

2. Pursuant to the adopted fare policy, recommend codified tariff changes that will:
   - Generate revenue, balanced with ridership
   - Be transparent, logical, and data-driven
   - Be simple to understand, administer, and justify
Why Adopt a Fare Policy?

- Manifestation of fare-related strategic goals.
- A guide to help staff balance competing priorities when considering new fare prices or products.
- Rubric for evaluating the efficacy of fare changes in meeting stated goals.
Fare Survey

Methodology

- “Stated Preference” – tradeoff experiment
- Respondent indicates how many trips they make on SamTrans in a given week.
- Respondent is presented with hypothetical fare changes and asked to note how their trip making would change given the new fares.
Fare Survey

- Friday, April 6 to Friday, April 13
- Sampled 11 high-volume routes:
  - Express and Multicity: ECR, KX, 292
  - Coastside: 110
  - North County: 120, 121, 122, 130
  - Mid-county: 250
  - South County: 281, 296

- Survey mostly given in person on bus or at terminal.
  - English and Spanish available on tablet
  - Other languages referred to website
  - Customer Service team provided further phone-based translation service

- Total responses: 1,573
  - 6 online responses
  - 1 phone response via customer service line
  - After exclusions: 1,223
Fare Survey

**Age**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 - 24</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 34</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 - 44</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 54</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 - 64</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 or older</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Annual Household Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Range</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $25,000</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 - $49,999</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 - $74,999</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000 - $99,999</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 - $149,999</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,000 or more</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fare Survey

Ridership Tenure

- 6 months or less: 18%
- 6 months - 1 year: 15%
- 1 - 3 years: 28%
- More than 3 years: 39%

- 33%, “New customers”
- 67%, “Seasoned riders”

Ridership Tenure, by Ridership Frequency

- Frequent Rider (5-7 days per week)
- Infrequent Rider (1-4 days per week)

- 6 months or less:
  - Frequent Rider: 42%
  - Infrequent Rider: 58%

- 6 months - 1 year:
  - Frequent Rider: 50%
  - Infrequent Rider: 50%

- 1 - 3 years:
  - Frequent Rider: 65%
  - Infrequent Rider: 35%

- More than 3 years:
  - Frequent Rider: 74%
  - Infrequent Rider: 26%
Fare Survey

Fare Type
• 86% paid adult fare

Fare Media
• 27% used cash or change card
• 65% used Clipper (split between cash value and month pass).
• 58% of riders not using Clipper said they prefer to use cash
Transfers – 28% take more than one bus on a one-way trip
Fare Elasticity Modelling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elasticity</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>If costs increase 10%, ridership may decrease 1.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Key Findings:**

- Consistent with previous studies that have looked at transit passenger price sensitivity.
- Little to no difference in the overall elasticity between low income and high-income groups.
- Those who identify as non-white and/or Hispanic are more inelastic than the white/non-Hispanic group.
- Those who speak English poorly, or not at all, are more inelastic than those who do.
Fare Policy Proposal

Five topic areas:

1. Ridership
2. Farebox Recovery
3. Customer Experience
4. Administration and Overhead
5. Strategic Adjustments
Fare Policy Proposal

**Ridership**

1. Fare structure should encourage ridership growth by keeping SamTrans affordable and simple to use.
2. Fare structure should preserve or grow ridership among core ridership groups (such as low-income passengers) or markets that have strategic focus (such as youth).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Metric</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ridership</td>
<td>Quarterly monitoring of daily ridership.</td>
<td>Stable or increase</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Bus Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Demographics</td>
<td>As part of the triennial ridership survey, monitor ridership trends among people with low incomes. Seek balance amongst income levels.</td>
<td>Balanced demographic mix</td>
<td>Triennial</td>
<td>Market Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fare Policy Proposal

Cost Recovery
1. In order to support current and future services, fare revenue will offset a portion of annual operating costs.
2. Specific farebox revenue targets may be set for individual routes pursuant to service type and District strategic policy goals, and/or when required by discretionary grant funding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Metric</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Revenue</td>
<td>Total revenue collected from fare sales.</td>
<td>Stable or increase</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Treasury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery Ratio</td>
<td>Ratio of fare sales to operating cost.</td>
<td>Stable or increase</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Treasury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Passenger</td>
<td>Operating cost per passenger served</td>
<td>Stable or decrease</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Treasury and Bus Operations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fare Policy Proposal

**Customer Experience**

1. Fares should be easily understood by customers.
2. Fare changes should be transparently and rationally justified.
3. When feasible, SamTrans should support ongoing regional efforts to streamline fare payment processes.
4. Fare structure should encourage use of multi-ride passes over single-ride tickets, tokens, or other media.
5. Fares should support use of Clipper and the SamTrans mobile ticketing app.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Metric</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Customer Feedback on Fare Products</strong></td>
<td>Solicit customer feedback via the triennial survey or other market research opportunities, when available. Customer ratings on ease of use. Higher ratings preferred.</td>
<td>Positive feedback regarding ease of use.</td>
<td>Triennial, or when feasible.</td>
<td>Market Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fare Payment Mix</strong></td>
<td>Monitor use of fare payment media among ridership, in particular the use of Clipper, mobile app, and passes.</td>
<td>Increase rates of Clipper, pass, and app use.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Farebox Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fare Policy Proposal

Administration & Overhead
1. Fare policy should be straightforward and cost-effective to administer.
2. Fare structure should facilitate efficient operations, such as reduced dwell time.
3. Fare structure should embrace technological innovation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Metric</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SamTrans Staff Feedback</td>
<td>Assess the staff resources required to administer SamTrans fare policy.</td>
<td>Positive feedback</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Internal fare-related staff working groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce administration costs over time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Dwell Time at Stops</td>
<td>Time spent at stops loading and unloading passengers.</td>
<td>Stable or decrease</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Bus Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fare Payment Mix</td>
<td>Monitor use of fare payment media among ridership, in particular the use of</td>
<td>Increase rates of Clipper, pass, and app use.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Farebox Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clipper, mobile app, and passes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fare Policy Proposal

Strategic Adjustments
1. Fare structure changes must be evaluated for consistency with Board-approved policy.
2. Time and budget permitting, the fare elasticity model should be updated and used to evaluate ridership and revenue implications of fare changes.
3. Fare discounts should be used to incentivize certain markets to take SamTrans.
4. Fare discounts should have specific and well-documented goals. Outcomes will be measured on a regular basis and modified or removed as necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Metric</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ridership of Strategic Market</td>
<td>Staff should monitor the ridership among strategic markets, such as youth, in order to gauge the effectiveness of relevant discounts or other promotions.</td>
<td>Ridership increase of strategic market.</td>
<td>Triennial, or sooner as feasible</td>
<td>Market Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fare Model Outputs</td>
<td>Staff should update and run the fare elasticity model when proposing discounts or other adjustments.</td>
<td>Forecasted change in ridership or revenue within a tolerable limit.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Market Research and/or Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next Steps

1. **Today**: Consider postponement of 2019 fare increase (Finance Item #4)
   - Approved in 2016, would increase base fare to $2.50, with corresponding increases to day pass, tokens, and monthly passes.

2. **January**: Depending on comments, present revised Fare Policy to Board for consideration and adoption.

3. **February/March**: Following adoption, evaluate codified tariff and propose necessary revisions for consistency with Fare Policy. The 2019 fare increase will be evaluated as part of codified tariff revisions. Present proposed changes and initiate public comment and Title VI review period.